

Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C.
Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)
80-02 Kew Gardens Road, Suite
601 Kew Gardens, NY 11415
Telephone: 718-263-9591

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
WILMER MOROCHO, individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP., and HERNAN
CHINCHILIMA, as an individual,

Defendants.

**COLLECTIVE ACTION
COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL
DEMANDED**

- X
1. Plaintiff, **WILMER MOROCHO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated**, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by his attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2. Plaintiff, **WILMER MOROCHO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated**, through undersigned counsel, brings this action against **HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP., and HERNAN CHINCHILIMA, as an individual**, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiff's employment HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP., located at 14-65 61 STREET 1 FLOOR, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11219.
3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount

exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
6. Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff WILMER MOROCHO residing at 48-14 5TH AVENUE, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK, 11220, was employed by the Defendant at HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP. from in or around May 2013 until in or around June 2020.
9. Defendant, HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP., is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 14-65 61 STREET 1 FLOOR, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11219.
10. Defendant, HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP., is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
11. Upon information and belief, Defendant HERNAN CHINCHILIMA owns and operates HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP.
12. Defendant HERNAN CHINCHILIMA is an agent of HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP.
13. Upon information and belief, Defendant HERNAN CHINCHILIMA has power over personnel decisions at HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP.
14. Upon information and belief, Defendant HERNAN CHINCHILIMA has power over payroll decisions at HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP.

15. Defendant HERNAN CHINCHILIMA has the power to hire and fire employees at HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP, establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
16. During all relevant times herein, Defendant HERNAN CHINCHILIMA was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
17. On information and belief, HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP. is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

RELEVANT STATUTORY PERIOD

18. Under the FLSA and NYLL, Plaintiffs federal and state law claims are subject to statute of limitations periods of 3 and 6 years from the date of the filing of the Complaint, respectively. This Complaint was filed on October 2022. As such, the relevant statutory period for Plaintiff's claims asserted herein encompasses the entirety of the period spanning October 2016 through the present ("relevant statutory period.").

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

19. Plaintiff WILMER MOROCHO was employed by Defendants at HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP. from in or around May 2013 until in or around June 2020.
20. During Plaintiff WILMER MOROCHO'S employment by Defendants at HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP., Plaintiff's primary duties were installing ceramic, and performing other miscellaneous duties.
21. Plaintiff WILMER MOROCHO was paid by Defendants approximately \$700.00 per week during the relevant statutory period.
22. Plaintiff WILMER MOROCHO worked approximately sixty-three (63) or more hours per week at HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP. during the relevant statutory period.

23. Although Plaintiff WILMER MOROCHO worked approximately sixty-three (63) or more hours per week during the relevant statutory period, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.
24. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
25. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep accurate payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
26. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Cami deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

27. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.
28. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as ceramic installer, handyman, or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required overtime wage compensation.
29. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed approximately 40-50 employees within the relevant time period who were subjected to similar payment structures.
30. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
31. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
32. Upon information and belief, Defendants had knowledge that Plaintiff and the

Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.

33. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
34. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
35. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
36. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class.
37. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
38. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

39. Plaintiff re--alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
40. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
41. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
42. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
43. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the

regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).

44. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiff.

45. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

46. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

47. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.

48. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiff was entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.

49. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

50. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

51. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English and in Spanish

(Plaintiffs primary language), of his rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

52. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

53. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

54. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)

55. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- d. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- e. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- f. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: October 3, 2022
Kew Gardens, NY

Roman Avshalumov

Roman Avshalumov, Esq. (RA5508)
Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC
Kew Gardens Road, Suite 601
Kew Gardens, NY 11415
Telephone: 718-263-9591
Fax: 718-263-9598

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WILMER MOROCHO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

HERNAN AND BROTHER CORP., and HERNAN CHINCHILIMA, as an individual,

Defendants.

SUMMONS & COMPLAINT

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
80-02 Kew Gardens Road, Suite
601 Kew Gardens, NY 11415
Phone (718) 263-9591
Fax (718) 263-9598

TO:

Service via Secretary of State:

Hernan and Brother Corp. (DOS ID# 4725754)

14-65 61 Street 1 Floor, Brooklyn, New York 11219

Via Personal Service:

Hernan and Brother Corp.

Hernan Chinchilima

523 Kings Highway Apt 3, Brooklyn, NY 11223